

Answer to conjecture 1 of the paper: Buildings of classical groups and centralizers of Lie algebra elements [BS04]

Daniel Skodlerack

30.09.2008

This notes are additional remarks to the article [BS04]. Here we want to prove the uniqueness assertion for a more general case, more precisely it turns out that we can prove the conjecture given in [BS04] for all cases where no factor is isomorphic to GL_1 as algebraic groups over F . We take all notions and statements of [BS04]. The property which induces the uniqueness is the compatibility with the Lie algebra filtrations. The next two sections include the case where J is not empty. I really thank Paul Broussous for the fruitful hints and discussions.

1 Notation

1. We write $J_{0,+}$ for $J_{0,+}$.
2. The F algebra E is the direct sum of field extensions $E_i|1^i F$ generated by $\beta_i = 1^i \beta$.
3. For $i \in J_0$ we put G_i to be the unitary group corresponding to the ϵ -hermitian form $h|_{V_i \times V_i}$, i.e.

$$G_i := \{g \in \text{Aut}_F(V_i) \mid h(gv, gw) = h(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V_i\},$$

and I recall

$$H_i := \{g \in \text{Aut}_{E_i}(V_i) \mid h_i(gv, gw) = h_i(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V_i\}.$$

The skew-symmetry of β , i.e.

$$\sigma(\beta) = -\beta,$$

implies the skew-symmetry for β_i . Therefore we have buildings $I_i, I_{\beta_i}^1$ of G_i, H_i respectively.

4. For a positive index i , i.e. an index $i \in J_+$, we denote by G_i the group $\text{Aut}_{1^i F}(V_i)$ which is embedded in G by

$$a \mapsto a + a^{-\sigma} + \sum_{l \neq i, -i} 1^l.$$

I recall that $a \in G_i$ implies that $a^{-\sigma}$ is an $1^{-i}F$ linear automorphism of V_{-i} . By H_i we just denote the group of E_i linear automorphisms of V_i and we can embed H_i in G_i by inclusion. The enlarged building of H_i , G_i is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{\beta_i}^1$, $\mathcal{I}_i$ respectively.

5. For every index i in $J_{0,+}$ we write $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $\mathfrak{h}_i$ for the Lie-algebras of G_i , H_i respectively.
6. In [BS04] the authors embed the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G in two steps. They embed $\mathfrak{h}_i$ in $\mathfrak{g}_i$ by inclusion. For an index $i \in J_0$ they extend an element of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ to V by zero and for a positive index i they use the map

$$a \in \text{End}_{o_{E_i}}(V_i) \mapsto a - a^\sigma \in \mathfrak{g}_i \subseteq \text{End}_{o_{1^i F}}(V_i) \oplus \text{End}_{o_{1^{-i} F}}(V_{-i})$$

followed by an extension to V by zero.

7. By intersecting a subset of $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ we mean the preimage corresponding to the embedding described in item 6.
8. By $C(*)$ we mean the center and by $(*)^\circ$ we denote the connected component of a group or an algebraic group. For example $C(\mathbf{H}^0)$ denotes the center of the connected component of $\mathbf{H}$.

2 The compatibility with the Lie algebra filtrations

For this section we fix a point y in $\mathcal{I}$ and a point x in $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ such that y is an extension of x , i.e.

$$\mathfrak{h}_x = \mathfrak{g}_y \cap \mathfrak{h}. \quad (1)$$

Definition 1 A map ϕ from $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ to $\mathcal{I}$ is *compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations* if every value of ϕ is an extension of every element in the fiber.

Lemma 1 At most one component of β is zero and the its index has to lie in J_0 .

Proof: If $\beta_i = 0 = \beta_j$ with $i \neq j$, then put $1' := 1^i + 1^j$ and we have that

$$F \times F \cong 1^i E \oplus 1^j E = 1' E \cong 1' F \cong F$$

as rings, which can not be true, since F has no zero divisors. A component β_i is zero if and only if β_i^σ is zero and therefore the index of a zero component cannot lie in J . q.e.d.

Lemma 2 All idempotents 1^i lie in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{y,0}$.

Case 1 of the proof is quite similar to the proof of theorem [BS04, (11.2)].

Proof: If we know that the idempotents for the non zero components of β lie in the hereditary order of y then we know it the idempotent of the zero component of β too, since there is only one such index by lemma 1. We split the assertion in two cases.

Case 1: Fix an index i for which β_i is non-zero and let x_i be the i th component of x . Then β_i is invertible in E_i and since $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i}$ is an o_{E_i} -lattice function, there is an $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the equality

$$\beta_i \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i, s} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i, s+s_0}$$

holds. From the self-duality of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i}$ and by the fact that 2 is invertible in o_{E_i} , we have the splitting

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i} = \mathfrak{h}_{x_i} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{x_i}^+,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{h}_{x_i, s}^+ := \{a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i, s} \mid \sigma_i(a) = a\}.$$

The multiplication with β_i gives a bijection from $\mathfrak{h}_{x_i, s}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{x_i, s+s_0}^+$, because this element commutes with every element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i, s}$ and is skew-symmetric. Using (1) we come to the conclusion:

$$1^i \in \mathfrak{h}_{x_i, 0}^+ \subset \beta_i \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{y, -s_0} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{x_i, s_0} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{y, -s_0} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{y, 0}.$$

Case 2: If i is a positive index from J then by the compatibility we know that $1^i - 1^{-i}$ lies in $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi(x), 0}$ and therefore the square

$$(1^i - 1^{-i})^2 = 1^i + 1^{-i}$$

lies in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\phi(x), 0}$ and hence the idempotents 1^i and 1^{-i} lie there since 2 is invertible. q.e.d.

The lattice function of y splits under the sum

$$V = \bigoplus_i V_i \tag{2}$$

and by its self duality the point y is in the image of the map $j : \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} \mathcal{I}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ defined in terms of lattice functions by

$$(\Lambda_i)_{i \in J_{0,+}} \mapsto \sum_{i \in J_{0,+}} \Lambda_i + \sum_{i \in J_+} \Lambda_i^{\#i}.$$

For the definition of $\#i$ see [BS04, section 6]. Thus y is the value of a tuple $(y_i)_{i \in J_{0,+}}$. We denote x_i the i th component of x .

Lemma 3 For all indexes i in $J_{0,+}$ we have the equality:

$$\mathfrak{h}_{x_i} = \mathfrak{g}_{y_i} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$$

where we write $\mathfrak{g}_{y_i}$ for the square lattice function of y_i in $\text{Latt}_{o_F}^2 \text{End}_F(V_i)$.

Proof: For the Lie-algebra filtration we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_y \cap \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} \text{End}_F(V_i) = \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} \mathfrak{g}_{y_i}$$

and therefore

$$\mathfrak{g}_y \cap \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} \mathfrak{g}_i = \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} \mathfrak{g}_{y_i}.$$

The square lattice function $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_x$ decomposes as well, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_x = \prod_i \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{x_i},$$

and by (1) we have:

$$\prod_i \mathfrak{h}_{x_i,s} = \mathfrak{h}_{x,s} = \mathfrak{g}_{y,s} \cap \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{g}_{y,s} \cap \prod_i \mathfrak{g}_i) \cap \mathfrak{h} = (\prod_i \mathfrak{g}_{y_i,s}) \cap (\prod_i \mathfrak{h}_i) = \prod_i (\mathfrak{g}_{y_i,s} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i).$$

Thus we have for all indices $i \in J_{0,+}$ and for all real numbers s the compatibility

$$\mathfrak{h}_{x_i,s} = \mathfrak{g}_{y_i,s} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i.$$

q.e.d.

The last lemma leads to the factorization of a map which is compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations. More precisely we can formulate in the following proposition.

Proposition 1 *If a map ϕ from the building $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ of H to the building I of G is compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations then it can be uniquely factorised over $\prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} I_i$ using j , i.e. there is a unique map*

$$\tau : \mathcal{I}_\beta^1 \rightarrow \prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} I_i \text{ such that } \phi = j \circ \tau.$$

Proof: The value $\phi(\tilde{x})$ of a point $\tilde{x}$ is an extension of $\tilde{x}$ and thus it is in the image of the injective map j . q.e.d.

Remark 1 If ϕ is j_β then τ is the map $\prod_{i \in J_{0,+}} j_{\beta_i}$ where j_{β_i} is

- defined in [BS04] if i is J_0 .
- is the inclusion of $\mathcal{I}_{\beta_i}^1$ in $\mathcal{I}_i$

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda$$

if i is positive.

Remark 2 The last remark and the proposition before enables us to reduce proofs to the case where the cardinality of $J_{0,+}$ is one, i.e. where E is an extension field of F or where E is a product of two field extensions of copies of F which are switched by the involution σ . The first case corresponds to a non empty J_0 and the second to a non empty J_+ .

3 The notion of $E^\times$ fixed points of $\mathcal{I}$.

As in [BL02, II 1.1.] we want to describe the image of j_β as a set of fixed points of $E^\times$. If E is a field we have an $E^\times$ -action on the set of square lattice functions and thus we have a notion of $E^\times$ -fixed points. If E is not a field there is no $E^\times$ fixed point in $\text{Latt}_{o_F}^2 V$ and therefore we define an $E^\times$ fixed point in another way. We recall some notation.

Notation 1 Given a point x of $\mathcal{I}$ we have the self dual lattice function Λ_x , the Lie algebra filtration $\mathfrak{g}_x$ and the square lattice function $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_x$. We write o_E for the maximal compact subgroup of $(E, +)$, i.e.

$$o_E = \bigoplus o_{E_i}.$$

Definition 2 An o_E -lattice function of V is an o_F -lattice function of V which splits under (2) such that for every index i the intersection with V_i is an o_{E_i} -lattice function of V_i . A point of $\mathcal{I}$ is called *fixed by $E^\times$* if Λ_x is an o_E -lattice function of V .

This definition implies that the square lattice function of an $E^\times$ fixed point of $\mathcal{I}$ is a fixed point of the $o_E^\times$ action by conjugation, i.e. of

$$(e, \Gamma) \mapsto e\Gamma e^{-1}, \quad e \in o_E^\times, \quad \Gamma \text{Latt}_\sigma^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Proposition 2 1. The set of $E^\times$ fixed points of $\mathcal{I}$ is the image of j_β .

2. The image of a map from $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ to $\mathcal{I}$ is contained in $\mathcal{I}^{E^\times}$ if the map is compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations.

Proof: The first assertion follows from the definition of j_β and we can turn to assertion two. Assume we are given a map

$$\phi: \mathcal{I}_\beta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$$

compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations. We know from section 2 that the lattice function of a value of ϕ splits under (2). We fix $x \in \mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ and we have to prove that for every index i in $J_{0,+}$ the function $1^i \Lambda_{\phi(x)}$ is an o_{E_i} -lattice function of V_i . By remark 2 in section 2 we can restrict to the case where $J_{0,+}$ has only one element.

Case 1: We assume that J_0 is non empty. If β is zero then E equals F and $\Lambda_{\phi(x)}$ is therefore an o_E -lattice function and if β is not zero then by theorem [BS04, (11.2)] ϕ equals j_β whose image is contained in $\mathcal{I}^{E^\times}$.

Case 2: We assume that J_+ consists of one element i and we know by proposition 1 in section 2 that ϕ factorizes uniquely through a map

$$\tilde{\phi}: \text{Latt}_{o_{E_i}}^1 V_i \rightarrow \text{Latt}_{o_F}^1 V_i,$$

i.e. ϕ is the composition of j and $\tilde{\phi}$. We fix a prime element π_{E_i} of E_i . The compability property of ϕ implies the compability of $\tilde{\phi}$, and thus we have

$$\bullet \quad o_{E_i}^\times \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{x,0} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\phi}(x),0},$$

- $\pi_{E_i} \in \mathfrak{h}_{x, \frac{1}{e}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\phi}(x), \frac{1}{e}}$ and
- $\pi_{E_i}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{h}_{x, -\frac{1}{e}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\phi}(x), -\frac{1}{e}}$.

where e is the ramification index of $E_i | 1^i F$ and thus we conclude that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}(x)}$, i.e. $1^i \Lambda_{\phi(x)}$, is an $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}$ -lattice function. Using the selfduality of $\Lambda_{\phi(x)}$ we get the $\mathcal{O}_{E_{-i}}$ -lattice function property of $1^{-i} \Lambda_{\phi(x)}$. q.e.d.

4 The uniqueness in the case where J is empty

The goal is the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Assume that J is empty and assume that for all $i \in J_0$ the connected component of the identity of $\mathbf{H}_i$ is not isomorphic to GL_1 as algebraic group over F . Then j_β is uniquely characterized by the compatibility with the Lie-algebra filtrations. Indeed we have the stronger result that $j_\beta(x) = y$ if y is an extension of x .*

By remark 2 in section 2 it is enough to prove the theorem for the case where E is an extension field of F , i.e. to generalize theorem [BS04, (11.2)] to the case where β can be zero.

Lemma 4 The theorem is true if E is a field extension of F .

Proof: For $\beta \neq 0$ see [BS04, (11.2)]. Thus we can assume that $\beta = 0$ and therefore that E equals F and H is G . We further assume that σ is an involution of the first kind, because otherwise we can find an element β' in $F^\times$ with $\sigma(\beta') = -\beta'$ and for this case the theorem in [BS04, (11.2.)] applies. We fix a point y of $\mathcal{I}$ and we take an apartment containing y . This apartment is determined by a basis $(e_i)_{I \cup I_0}$ of V which belongs to a Witt-decomposition of V as described in section 2 of [BS04]. The self dual lattice function Λ corresponding to y is split by this basis and thus is described by its intersections with the lines Fe_i , i.e.

$$\Lambda_s = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{p}_F^{[s - \alpha_i]^+} e_i.$$

Thus the square lattice function of Λ is

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{y,s} = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{p}_F^{[s + \alpha_j - \alpha_i]^+} E_{i,j}$$

where $E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix with a 1 in the intersection of the i th row and the j th column and zeros everywhere else. See for example [BL02, I.4.5]. What we have to show is that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_y$ is determined by the Lie-algebra filtration $\mathfrak{g}_y$. This is enough since the selfdual square lattice function of a point determines the point uniquely, see [BS04, 3.5, 4.2]. The Gram-matrix J_h of the ϵ -hermitian form h has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M & 0 \\ \epsilon M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

if we order the basis in the following way

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r, e_{-r}, e_{-r+1}, \dots, e_1, e_{(0,1)}, e_{(0,2)}, \dots, e_{(0,n-2r)}.$$

Here M is the $r \times r$ -matrix having 1 in the secondary diagonal and zeros everywhere else and D is a regular diagonal matrix. The adjoint involution of h

$$\sigma(A) = J_h^{-1} A^T J_h$$

on $M_n(F)$, the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in F , has under this basis the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \\ A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_{2,2} & \epsilon \tilde{A}_{1,2} & \epsilon M A_{3,2}^T D \\ \epsilon \tilde{A}_{2,1} & \tilde{A}_{1,1} & M A_{3,1}^T D \\ \epsilon D^{-1} A_{2,3}^T M & D^{-1} A_{1,3}^T M & D^{-1} A_{3,3}^T D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the matrices $A_{1,1}, A_{1,2}, A_{2,1}$ and $A_{2,2}$ are $r \times r$ -matrices. For a square matrix $B = (b_{i,j}) \in M_r(F)$ the matrix $\tilde{B}$ is defined to be $(b_{r+1-j, r+1-i})_{i,j}$, i.e. $\tilde{B}$ is obtained from B by a reflections on the secondary diagonal. By the above calculation we obtain that $\sigma(E_{i,j})$ is $+E_{i,j}$, $-E_{i,j}$ or $\lambda E_{k,l}$ with $(i,j) \neq (k,l)$ for some $\lambda \in F^\times$. From the self-duality of $\tilde{g}$ and since 2 is invertible in o_F we get:

$$\mathfrak{g}_s \cap F(E_{i,j} - \sigma(E_{i,j})) = \mathfrak{p}^{[s+\alpha_j-\alpha_i]+}(E_{i,j} - \sigma(E_{i,j})).$$

Here we used that σ is of the first kind. Otherwise the formula would have been a little bit more complicated. Thus we can get the exponent $\alpha_j - \alpha_i$ from the knowledge of the Lie-algebra filtration if $E_{i,j}$ is not fixed by σ . Now we consider two cases.

Case 1: We assume that there is an anisotropic part in the Witt-decomposition, i.e. I_0 is not empty. I denote the index $(0, n-2r)$ by i_n . The matrix E_{i,i_n} is fixed by σ if and only if i equals i_n . Thus from the knowledge of the Lie-algebra filtration we know all differences $\alpha_i - \alpha_{i_n}$ for all indexes i different from i_n , and thus by subtractions we know the differences $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ for all i and j .

Case 2: Now we assume that there is no anisotropic part in the Witt-decomposition. If ϵ is -1 , no $E_{i,j}$ is fixed and we can deduce the differences $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ for all i and j and, as a consequence, we only have to consider the case where h is hermitian. Here the matrix $E_{i,j}$ is fixed by σ if and only if $i = -j$. Thus we can determine all differences $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ where i is different from $-j$. If n is at least 4 for an index $i > 0$ there is another positive index k and we can obtain $\alpha_i - \alpha_{-i}$ if we subtract $\alpha_k - \alpha_{-i}$ from $\alpha_i - \alpha_k$. The only case left is when n equals 2 and ϵ is 1. Here the group $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorph to SO_2 , i.e. G equals

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & a \\ a^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F^\times \right\} \quad (3)$$

whose connected component of the identity is isomorphic to GL_1 as algebraic groups over F which is not allowed for G by the assumption of the theorem. q.e.d.

Remark 3 If G is the group corresponding to the hermitian form

$$h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1,$$

i.e. the group mentioned in the last part of the proof then β is zero or H is the group of F -rational points of $GL_1 \times GL_1$, and therefore this case does not occur in the theorem.

Now we look at the general case initialized by the remark, i.e. where there is no restriction on J .

5 Rigidity of affine maps

Notation 2 The symbol $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ denotes the enlarged building of $\text{Aut}_F(V)$.

Definition 3 An *affine functional* on $\mathcal{I}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ is an affine map from $\mathcal{I}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

We are going to show that the affine functionals on $\mathcal{I}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ are constant, if they are invariant under $C(\mathbf{G}^\circ)(F)$, $C(GL_n(F))$ respectively. Firstly we give the general statement.

Proposition 3 *Let Ω be a thick affine building and $|\Omega|$ is its geometric realization, then every affine functional a on $|\Omega|$ is constant.*

The definition of an *affine building* is taken from [Bro89, Section VI.3.].

Proof: Say that we are given three vertices P_1 , P_2 and P_3 of adjacent chambers C_1 , C_2 and C_3 , more precisely the three chambers have a common codimension 1 face S and the point $P_i \in C_i$ does not lie on S . The line segment $[P_1, P_2]$ meets $[P_1, P_3]$ and $[P_2, P_3]$ in a point Q . We are working in three different apartments simultaneously. Without loss of generality assume that $a(Q)$ vanishes. If $a(P_1)$ is negative then $a(P_2)$ and $a(P_3)$ are positive by the affiness of a . Thus $a(Q)$ is positive since it lies on $[P_2, P_3]$. A contradiction. Thus by applying reflections we see that a is constant on vertices of the same type. In an apartment the vertices of the same type contain an affine basis and thus a is constant on every apartment and therefore constant on the building. q.e.d.

Proposition 4 *If $\mathbf{G}$ is not isomorphic to O_2 as algebraic groups defined over F then every affine functional on $\mathcal{I}$ is constant.*

Proof: There is an affine bijection from $\mathcal{I}$ to the geometric realisation of a thick affine building. Now we apply proposition propRigidityForAffineBuildings. q.e.d.

Proposition 5 1. *A $F^\times$ invariant affine functional a on $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ is constant.*

2. *If $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to O_2 as algebraic groups defined over F then every $SO_2(F)$ invariant affine functional on $\mathcal{I}$ is constant.*

Proof:

1. We can consider a as a map from the non enlarged building $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\text{Aut}_F(V)$, i.e. from $\text{Latt}_{o_F} V$ the set of equivalence classes of o_F -lattice functions of V , see [BL02]. Now we can apply proposition 3.
2. There is an $F^\times$ ($\cong SO_2(F)$) equivariant bijection from $\mathcal{I}$ to the enlarged building of $GL_1(F)$ and we can finish using part 1.

q.e.d.

6 Compatible maps in the general case

In this section we want to generalize theorem 1 to the case where there are no restrictions on J . Assume ϕ is a map from $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ to $\mathcal{I}$ and assume that ϕ is compatible with the Lie algebra filtrations so that we can apply proposition 2 and therefore the map

$$\psi := j_\beta^{-1} \circ \phi$$

is a map from $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ to itself which fixes the Lie algebra filtrations, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{h}_x = \mathfrak{h}_{\psi(x)}$$

for all points x . If ψ_i is the i th-component of ψ we get

$$\mathfrak{h}_{x_i} = \mathfrak{h}_{\psi_i(x)}$$

for all $i \in J_{0,+}$.

Remark 4 The map $\psi_i(x)$ depends not only on x_i . We need one condition more on ϕ .

Definition 4 Fix a natural number n and a real number s' .

1. A *translation by s'* of the enlarged building $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ of $\text{Aut}_F(V)$ is a map $t^{s'}$, $s' \in \mathbb{R}$, from $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^1$ to itself which has the form

$$t(\Lambda) := \Lambda + s'$$

in terms of o_F -lattice functions Λ of V . Here $\Lambda + s'$ denotes the lattice function

$$s \mapsto \Lambda_{s+s'}.$$

2. There is an affine bijection from the building of the group $O_2(F)$ to the set of lattice functions of $F \oplus F$ of the form

$$s \mapsto \Lambda_s^\alpha := \mathfrak{p}_F^{[s-\alpha]^+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_F^{[s+\alpha]^+}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A *translation* of this building is a map $t^{s'}$, $s' \in \mathbb{R}$, which is under terms of lattice functions of the form

$$\Lambda^\alpha \mapsto \Lambda^{\alpha+s'}.$$

There is at most one index i_0 in J_0 such that $\mathbf{H}_{i_0}$ is isomorphic to O_2 in terms of algebraic groups defined over F .

Definition 5 A *translation* of $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ is a product of maps

$$t_i : \mathcal{I}_{\beta_i}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\beta_i}^1$$

such that t_i is a translation if i is positive or $i = i_0$ and t_i is the identity otherwise.

Now we are able to formulate the theorem.

Theorem 2 *If ϕ is equivariant under the action of $C(\mathbf{H}^0)(F)$ then it exists a translation t of $\mathcal{I}_\beta^1$ such that*

$$\phi = j_\beta \circ t.$$

For the proof we have to apply the rigidity results of section 5.

Lemma 5 Under the condition of 2 every ψ_i only depends on x_i , i.e. ψ and therefore ϕ is a product of maps.

Proof: We have to look at three cases.

Case 1: For the indexes i in J_0 for which $\mathbf{H}_i$ is not O_2 we know by lemma 4 that $\psi_i(x)$ equals x_i .

Case 2: We assume that we have an index i_0 such that $\mathbf{H}_{i_0}$ is O_2 . We identify the lattice function Λ^α of the building of O_2 with real number α . If we fix an index $t \neq i_0$ and components x_l for $l \neq t$ then the map

$$x_t \mapsto \psi_{i_0}(x)$$

is constant by proposition 4 or 5 and thus ψ_{i_0} does not depend on x_t .

Case 3: In the case of $i \in J_+$ an analogous argument like in case 2 applies. The affine map we use is the map a_i defined by

$$\Lambda_{\psi_i(x)} = \Lambda_{x_i} + a_i(x).$$

q.e.d.

We now identify the maps ψ_i with the factors of ψ , i.e. we write $\psi_i(x_i)$ instead of $\psi_i(x)$. The same we do with the maps a_i of the proof above. The next lemma proves the theorem.

Lemma 6 The components ψ_i are translations for the indexes $i = i_0$ or $i \in J_+$.

Proof: Now assume i_0 occurs in J_0 . The affine map ψ_{i_0} of $\mathcal{I}_{\beta_{i_0}}$ is identified with an affine map of $\mathbb{R}$. The values $\psi_{i_0}(\alpha + 1)$ and $\psi_{i_0}(\alpha) + 1$ equal because the map is $SO_2(F_{i_0})$ equivariant. The affiness property implies that a is a translation. For the case of $i \in J_+$ the maps a_i is an affine map from the set of $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}$ -lattice functions of V_i to $\mathbb{R}$ which is invariant under the $E_i^\times$ action since ψ is $E_i^\times$ equivariant and we can apply proposition 5. Thus a_i is constant and ψ_i is a translation. q.e.d.

Literatur

- [BL02] P. Broussous and B. Lemaire. Buildings of $GL(m, D)$ and centralizers. *Transformation Groups*, 7(1):15–50, 2002.
- [Bro89] K. Brown. *Buildings*. Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1989.
- [BS04] P. Broussous and S. Stevens. Buildings of classical groups and centralizers of lie algebra elements. *to appear in Journal of Lie-theory 2009*, 2004.